

291. Mount Allison University Signals Its Social Justice Commitments Loud and Clear in the Rima Azar Case

The Epoch Times, 9 May 2021

https://www.theepochtimes.com/mount-allison-university-signals-its-social-justice-commitments-loud-and-clear-in-the-rima-azar-case_3808214.html

Mark Mercer

Intellectual engagement with the things of the world involves critical discussion. Since critical discussion can require one to hear unpleasant ideas about things that matter deeply to one, intellectual engagement can be threatening. Nonetheless, because universities are places of intellectual engagement, students must expect to feel, every now and then, that what is important to them is under threat.

At least that's how it used to be, more or less. Professors and students expected that they would hear and consider distressing ideas. Nowadays, though, that a student feels some aspect of their identity is not being celebrated by their professor is grounds for that student to complain and for their university to find that the professor has harassed them or discriminated against them.

Rima Azar, a tenured associate professor of psychology at Mount Allison University, in Sackville, New Brunswick, blogs as Bambi on "[Bambi's Afkar](#)." Dr Azar is a recent victim of the doctrine that speaking a view that upsets a student is to harass that student. Dr Azar has been suspended from her duties as a professor and may not come to campus again until the beginning of December.

Fair and Unfair Treatment

Students at a university should not be subjected to unfair treatment. Any member of a university community who treats a student unfairly should be told to stop it. When telling doesn't work, sanctions might be in order.

Professors, above all, certainly shouldn't be unfair. They should grade the work of each of their students by common and academically sound criteria. They should not favour particular students in the classroom or the hallways. They should also be kind to their students or, at least, not be cruel. While they must be honest and candid in their comments and evaluations, they must not disparage students to their classmates or publicly.

Universities typically have mechanisms by which to address allegations of unfairness. Students who believe their work has not been graded fairly may use a formal grade appeal procedure. Students who believe they are being ignored, mocked or demeaned in class can speak with the department chair or the dean.

What about students who feel unwelcomed or unsafe because of a topic on the syllabus (female genital mutilation, say, or correlations between race and intelligence), or because the professor

introduces an upsetting view with regard to that topic, or because the professor endorses that upsetting view? Should they feel they are being treated unfairly?

No. The student has not been treated unfairly even if the view in question strikes at the student's identity, and even if the students' identity group is protected by human-rights law and the upsetting view is the professor's own.

The student *is* being treated unfairly if the student is not allowed to question or object to the view. But no student is being treated in any way other than as a university student in good standing by being required to hear and consider a view on a topic, no matter how awful or distressing to the student that view is.

Back to the Azar Case

Mount Allison University launched an investigation of Dr Azar after receiving complaints that she wrongfully discriminated against and harassed Mount Allison students by posting on her blog what they describe as hurtful views, and, perhaps, by having been a little testy in exchanges with a student or two. Student complaints mentioned comments about systemic racism (Dr Azar said it doesn't exist in Canada), Black Lives Matter (she called them a [radical group](#)), transgenderism (she wrote about the [J.K. Rowling incident](#) and gender-neutral [pronouns](#)), and how peaceful Canada is (compared to her native Lebanon).

Really, that's it. She expressed publicly views a few students didn't like, and she was suspended. (I'd add that her views are not objectionable, though I don't think it matters if they were.)

"Discrimination of any kind is not tolerated at Mount Allison. Students, faculty, and staff deserve to have a safe place to learn and work, and should not have to avoid any class, activity or person because of their race, gender identity or gender expression," reads a paragraph from the [email message](#) publicly announcing Dr Azar's suspension.

"Similarly, the University has an obligation to provide a mechanism for complaints and students need to feel confident that they can have their concerns addressed, without fear of retaliation. The goal of the University's decision yesterday was to reinforce these principles."

The fact is, though, that Dr Azar treated no one unfairly, neither by harassing them nor by discriminating against them. She simply expressed her views. The announcement does not say why anyone, whatever their race, gender identity, or gender expression, would have to avoid Dr Azar or her classes. Dr Azar has not treated, and, indeed, is not alleged to have treated, anyone unfairly—in what at least until yesterday counted as unfair treatment.

Likely enough, Dr Azar's faculty association will grieve Mount Allison's imposition of discipline. If the adjudicator is committed to academic values, the decision will go against the university, and it is quite possible that the adjudicator will be committed to academic values.

Nonetheless, the university will have won, if the objective was to limit the intellectual freedom of faculty and students. The reason is that the university will have signalled loud and clear to everyone its commitment to the ends and means of contemporary social justice movements. It will have signalled that it prefers a misguided anti-racism to its proper academic mission.

Having seen what Mount Allison will do to those who speak unapproved views publicly, professors and students will take care not to bring its wrath down on their own heads. Free and fearless inquiry into, for instance, whether Canada is a systemically racist country, will be a thing of the past.

Mark Mercer teaches philosophy in Halifax, Nova Scotia, and is president of the Society for Academic Freedom and Scholarship